

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,209	04/11/2001	Kunio Saegusa	Q64055	1175	
7	7590 05/30/2003				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			GROUP, KARL E		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	10	
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



AS

Application No. 09/832,209

Applicant(s)

Saegusa et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Karl Group

Art Unit 1755

The MAILING	GDATE of this communication appears o	on the c ver shee	t with t	the c rrespondence address		
Period for Reply				· ·		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
Extensions of time may be av- mailing date of this communic	vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n	no event, however, may	/ a reply be	se timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
 If the period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set 	ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the ified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar tor extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the fice later than three months after the mailing date of th	nd will expire SIX (6) Mile application to become	ONTHS from ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status 1) X Responsive to	communication(s) filed on May 20, 2	າດດວ		1		
2a) X This action is F	<u>_</u>			•		
			'a++a			
closed in accor	ication is in condition for allowance extraction relationship in condition for allowance extractions in the condition for the condition for all the conditions in the condition for all the condition for all the conditions in the condition for	•		•		
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6, 9</u>), and 10			is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above	ə, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆 Claim(s)				is/are allowed.		
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-4, 9</u>), and 10			is/are rejected.		
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>5 and</u>	6			is/are objected to.		
8) Claims		are s	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers						
9) 🗆 The specification	on is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	not request that any objection to the dr	_				
11)☐ The proposed of	drawing correction filed on	is: a	a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, co	orrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action	on.			
12) The oath or de	eclaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C						
	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
	copies of the priority documents have					
- (copies of the priority documents have					
ар	f the certified copies of the priority do oplication from the International Burea detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 17.	'.2(a)).	· ·		
		•				
_	nent is made of a claim for domestic on of the foreign language provisional					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	Total of a significant state of the state of	priority dride. C.	J 0.0.	5. 35 120 GHQ/OF 121.		
1) X Notice of References Cite	ed (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr	mary (PTO	0-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			t Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Application/Control Number: 09/832209 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-4,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bacher, for reasons of record.

Applicants argument that Bacher teaches a glass composition having a glass transition temperature higher than 700°C is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection. Applicants have not shown where in the teachings of Bacher a glass transition temperature greater than 700°C is taught or shown by way of tangible evidence that it is inherent. Furthermore Bacher teaches a softening point of 700°C which would require the transition temperature to be substantially lower. See Taga et al, Table 1 which shows the transition temperature is lower than the softening point. Also the claims fail to set forth a glass composition which distinguishes from the glass composition of Bacher. It is agreed that Bacher does not disclose the BET of the powder however the particle size taught by Bacher is within the claimed range and would expect to have the same BET absent tangible evidence to the contrary.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

Application/Control Number: 09/832209 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Support for "and a glass powder having a lower glass transition temperature than said magnesium titanate powder" cannot be found in the disclosure.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "and a glass powder having a lower glass transition temperature than said magnesium titanate powder" is considered to render the claims indefinite because it cannot be seen how a inorganic powder that is not a glass may have a glass transition temperature.

- 7. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and rewriting claims 1 and 2 to overcome the 112 rejections.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1755

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Group whose telephone number is (703)308-3821. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9310, for any non-final amendment or communication, and (703)872-9311 for any after-final

amendment or communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

C KARL GROUP
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1755

Keg

May 29, 2003